

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X		:
SYLINIA JACKSON, <i>on behalf of herself and all other</i>	:	
<i>persons similarly situated,</i>	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	23 Civ. 1358 (JPC)
	:	
-v-	:	<u>ORDER</u>
	:	
FASTOOL, INC.,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	
-----X		

JOHN P. CRONAN, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this action on February 17, 2023. Dkt. 1. Defendant returned an executed waiver of service that was sent on March 13, 2023, *see* Dkt. 6, making its answer due on May 12, 2023, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(3). The docket reflects that Defendant has neither appeared in this action nor answered the Complaint.

It is hereby ORDERED that no later than June 19, 2023, Plaintiff shall move for default judgment as to Defendant, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 55.2 and 3.D of the Court's Individual Rules and Practices for Civil Cases, or show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Pursuant to this Court's Individual Rules, Plaintiff must serve his motion for default judgment and supporting paperwork on Defendant by June 19, 2023, and must file an Affidavit of Service on ECF by June 21, 2023. Defendant shall file any opposition to the motion for default judgment no later than July 3, 2023. Plaintiff shall file any reply no later than July 10, 2023.

It is further ORDERED that Defendant appear and show cause at a hearing before this Court on July 12, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 12D of the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007, why an order should not be issued

granting a default judgment against Defendant. In the event that Defendant does not appear, Plaintiff's counsel should be prepared to discuss any communications with Defendant or representatives for Defendant regarding the litigation and any intent to challenge the suit, including discussions about the alleged illegal conduct prior to the filing of the lawsuit; how Defendant was served with the Summons and Complaint and this Order; why Plaintiff's counsel is confident that Defendant has been served with those documents and has notice of the hearing; and the method for calculating damages.


The Court notes that “[a] court’s decision to enter a default against defendants does not by definition entitle plaintiffs to an entry of a default judgment. Rather, the court may . . . enter a default judgment if liability is established as a matter of law when the factual allegations of the complaint are taken as true.” *Bricklayers & Allied Craftworkers Local 2, Albany, N.Y. Pension Fund v. Moulton Masonry & Constr., LLC*, 779 F.3d 182, 187 (2d Cir. 2015); *see also Au Bon Pain Corp. v. Artect, Inc.*, 653 F.3d 61, 65 (2d Cir. 1981) (“[A] district court . . . under Rule 55(b)(2) . . . need not agree that the alleged facts constitute a valid cause of action.”). Accordingly, counsel should also be prepared to discuss whether the Complaint alleges a valid cause of action under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.* *See, e.g., Winegard v. Newsday LLC*, 556 F. Supp. 3d 173, 174 (E.D.N.Y. 2021) (“[T]he ADA excludes, by its plain language, the websites of businesses with no public-facing, physical retail operations from the definition of ‘public accommodations.’”); *Martinez v. MyLife.com, Inc.*, No. 21 Civ. 4779 (BMC), 2021 WL 5052745, at \*2-3 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 1, 2021) (same); *Gil v. Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc.*, 993 F.3d 1266, 1277 (11th Cir. 2021) (“[W]ebsites are not a place of public accommodation under Title III of the ADA.”), *opinion vacated on mootness grounds on panel reh’g*, 2021 WL 6129128 (11th Cir. Dec. 28, 2021).

In the event that Defendant appears in this case and opposes the motion for default judgment prior to the scheduled court appearance on July 12, 2023, that court appearance shall also serve as an Initial Case Management Conference pursuant to 5.B of the Court's Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases.

It is further ORDERED that Plaintiff serve Defendant via overnight courier with a copy of this Order within one week of the date of this Order. Within two business days of service, Plaintiff must file proof of such service on the docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 2, 2023  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN P. CRONAN  
United States District Judge